



# Domination Cover Pebbling Number for Even Cycle Lollipop

A.Lourdusamy<sup>1</sup> and T.Mathivanan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics,  
St. Xavier 's College (Autonomous),  
Palayamkottai - 627 002, India.  
E-mail : lourdugnanam@hotmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Research scholar,  
Department of Mathematics,  
St. Xavier 's College (Autonomous),  
Palayamkottai-627 002, India.  
E-mail : tahit\_van\_man@yahoo.com

**Abstract :** Given a configuration of pebbles on the vertices of a connected graph  $G$ , a pebbling move (or pebbling step) is defined as the removal of two pebbles from a vertex and placing one pebble on an adjacent vertex. The domination cover pebbling number,  $\psi(G)$ , of a graph  $G$  is the minimum number of pebbles that are placed on  $V(G)$  such that after a sequence of pebbling moves, the set of vertices with pebbles forms a dominating set of  $G$ , regardless of the initial configuration. In this paper, we determine  $\psi(G)$  for even cycle lollipop.

**Key words :** Pebbling, Cover pebbling, Domination cover pebbling, Lollipop.  
2009 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C99.

(Received : January 2014, Accepted March 2014)

## 1. Introduction

One recent development in graph theory, suggested by Lagarias and Saks, called pebbling, has been the subject of much research. It was first introduced into the literature by Chung [1],

and has been developed by many others including Hulbert, who published a survey of graph pebbling [5]. There have been many developments since Hulbert's survey appeared.

Given a graph  $G$ , distribute  $k$  pebbles (indistinguishable markers) on its vertices in some configuration  $C$ . Specifically, a configuration on a graph  $G$  is a function from  $V(G)$  to  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  representing an arrangement of pebbles on  $G$ . For our purposes, we will always assume that  $G$  is connected. A pebbling move (or pebbling step) is defined as the removal of two pebbles from some vertex and the placement of one of these pebbles on an adjacent vertex. Define the pebbling number,  $\pi(G)$ , to be the minimum number of pebbles such that regardless of their initial configuration, it is possible to move to any root vertex  $v$  a pebble by a sequence of pebbling moves. Implicit in this definition is the fact that if after moving to vertex  $v$  one desires to move to another root vertex, the pebbles reset to their original configuration.

The domination cover pebbling [3] is the combination of two ideas cover pebbling [2] and domination [4]. This introduces a new graph invariant called the domination cover pebbling number,  $\psi(G)$ . Recall that, a set of vertices  $D$  in  $G$  is a dominating set if every vertex in  $G$  is either in  $D$  or adjacent to a vertex of  $D$ . The cover pebbling number,  $\lambda(G)$ , is defined as the minimum number of pebbles required such that given any initial configuration of at least  $\lambda(G)$  pebbles, it is possible to make a series of pebbling moves to place at least one pebble on every vertex of  $G$ . The domination cover pebbling number of a graph  $G$ , proposed by A. Tegua, is the minimum number  $\psi(G)$  of pebbles required such that any initial configuration of at least  $\psi(G)$  pebbles can be transformed so that the set of vertices that contain pebbles form a dominating set of  $G$ . In [3], Gardner et.al. have computed domination cover pebbling number for complete  $r$ -partite graph, path, wheel graph, cycle, and binary tree. We have determined the domination cover pebbling number for the odd cycle lollipop graph [6] and the square of a path [7]. In section 2, we determine the domination cover

pebbling number for even cycle lollipop. We use the following theorems from [3] for further discussion :

**Theorem 1.1**[3] For  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\psi(P_n) = 2^{n+1} \left( \frac{1 - 8^{-(\beta_n+1)}}{7} \right) + \left\lfloor \frac{\alpha_n}{2} \right\rfloor$ , where

$$n - 2 = \alpha_n + 3\beta_n \equiv \alpha_n \pmod{3}. \quad \blacksquare$$

From Theorem 1.1, we can derive the following:

$$\psi(P_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{2^{n+1} - 1}{7}, & \text{if } \alpha_n = 0 \\ \frac{2^{n+1} - 2}{7}, & \text{if } \alpha_n = 1 \\ \frac{2^{n+1} + 3}{7}, & \text{if } \alpha_n = 2 \end{cases}$$

Also, from this we have,

$$\frac{2^{n+1} - 2}{7} \leq \psi(P_n) \leq \frac{2^{n+1} + 3}{7}.$$

**Theorem 1.2**[3] Let  $C_m$  be a cycle on  $m$  vertices. Then the domination cover pebbling number of  $C_m$  is given by,

$$\psi(C_m) = \begin{cases} \psi(P_k) + \psi(P_{k-1}) - |\alpha_k - 1| |\alpha_{k-1} - 1|, & \text{if } m = 2k - 2 (k \geq 3) \\ 2\psi(P_k) - |\alpha_k - 1|, & \text{if } m = 2k - 1 (k \geq 2) \end{cases}$$

where,  $k - 2 \equiv \alpha_k \pmod{3}$  and  $(k - 1) - 2 \equiv \alpha_{k-1} \pmod{3}$ . ■

## 2 Domination cover pebbling number for even cycle lollipop

**Definition 2.1** [6] For a pair of integers  $m \geq 3$  and  $n \geq 2$ , let  $L(m, n)$  be the lollipop graph of order  $n+m-1$  obtained from a cycle  $C_m$  by attaching a path of length  $n-1$  to a vertex of the cycle.

If the cycle  $C_m$  in  $L(m, n)$  is even, then we call  $L(m, n)$  an even cycle lollipop.

We will use the following labeling for the graphs  $C_m$  and  $P_n$ :

$$C_m: v_0 v_1 v_2 \dots v_{m-1} v_0 \ (m \geq 3) \text{ and } P_n: v_0 v_{p_1} v_{p_2} \dots v_{p_{n-1}} \ (n \geq 2)$$

Now, we proceed to find the domination cover pebbling number for  $L(m, 2)$ , where  $m \geq 4$ .

Here after we use the following notations: consider the paths  $P_A: v_0 v_1 v_2 \dots v_{k-2}$  and  $P_B: v_k v_{k+1} v_{k+2} \dots v_{m-1} v_0$  belonging to the cycle  $C_m$ , where  $m = 2k-2$  ( $k \geq 3$ ).

$$\text{Let } P_C: v_{p_1} v_{p_2} \dots v_{p_{n-1}}.$$

Let  $\hat{f}(v_i)$  be the number of pebbles at the vertex  $v_i$  and  $\hat{f}(P_A)$  be the number of pebbles on the path  $P_A$ .

**Theorem 2.2** Let  $L(m, 2)$  be a lollipop graph where  $m=2k-2$  ( $k \geq 3$ ) and

$$k-2 \equiv \alpha_k \pmod{3}. \text{ Then } \psi(L(m, 2)) = \begin{cases} 2\psi(C_m), & \text{if } \alpha_k = 0 \text{ or } 1 \\ 2\psi(C_m) + 1, & \text{if } \alpha_k = 2 \end{cases}.$$

**Proof:** Consider the lollipop graph  $L(m, 2)$ , where  $m=2k-2$  ( $k \geq 3$ ) and  $k-2 \equiv \alpha_k \pmod{3}$ .

**Case 1:** Let  $\alpha_k=1$ . Then  $k \equiv 3$ .

Consider the distribution of  $2\psi(C_m)-1$  pebbles on  $v_{p_1}$ , then clearly we cannot cover

dominate at least one of the vertices of  $L(m, 2)$ . Thus,  $\psi(L(m, 2)) \geq 2\psi(C_m)$ .

Now, consider the distribution of  $2\psi(C_m)$  pebbles on the vertices of  $L(m,2)$ , where  $\alpha_k=1$ .

**Case1.1:**  $C_m$  contains at least  $\psi(C_m)$  pebbles.

If  $v_{p_1}$  contains one or more pebbles then we are done (by our assumption). So,

assume that  $v_{p_1}$  contains zero pebbles. This implies that  $C_m$  contains  $2\psi(C_m)$  pebbles.

We have to send a pebble to  $v_0$ , to cover dominate the vertex  $v_{p_1}$ . Suppose we

cannot send a pebble to  $v_0$ . Then, we must have

$$\hat{f}(P_A) + \left\lfloor \frac{\hat{f}(v_{k-1})}{2} \right\rfloor \leq 2^{k-2} - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{f}(P_B) + \left\lfloor \frac{\hat{f}(v_{k-1})}{2} \right\rfloor \leq 2^{k-2} - 1.$$

Adding the above inequalities, we get

$$\hat{f}(P_A) + \hat{f}(P_B) + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{\hat{f}(v_{k-1})}{2} \right\rfloor \leq 2^{k-1} - 2. \quad \text{---- (1)}$$

To minimize the L.H.S of (1), it is sufficient to assume that  $\hat{f}(P_A)=0= \hat{f}(P_B)$ . That is, we may assume that all the pebbles are placed at the vertex  $v_{k-1}$ . From (1), we get

$$2 \left\lfloor \frac{\hat{f}(v_{k-1})}{2} \right\rfloor \leq 2^{k-1} - 2.$$

$$\text{That is, } \hat{f}(v_{k-1}) \leq 2^{k-1} - 1. \quad \text{---- (2)}$$

But, we have,  $\hat{f}(v_{k-1}) \geq 2\psi(C_m)$

$$= 2[\psi(P_k) + \psi(P_{k-1}) - |\alpha_k - 1| |\alpha_{k-1} - 1|]$$

$$= 2 \left( \frac{2^{k+1} + 2^k - 3}{7} \right)$$

$$= \frac{6(2^k - 1)}{7} \geq 2^{k-1},$$

where the second inequality follows since  $m=2k-2$ , the third inequality follows since  $\alpha_k=1$  and  $\alpha_{k-1}=0$ , and the fifth inequality follows since  $k \geq 3$ .

$$\text{That is, } \hat{f}(v_{k-1}) \geq 2^{k-1}, k \geq 3. \quad \text{---- (3)}$$

The inequality in (2) contradicts the inequality in (3). So, we can send a pebble to  $v_0$  using at most  $2^{k-1}$  pebbles. If we use at most  $2^{k-2}$  pebbles from  $C_m$  then the minimum number of pebbles that  $C_m$  contains is

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\psi(C_m) - 2^{k-2} \\ &= \psi(C_m) + \psi(C_m) - 2^{k-2} \\ &= \psi(C_m) + \left( \frac{5 \cdot 2^{k-2} - 3}{7} \right) \\ &\geq \psi(C_m), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows since  $m=2k-2$  and  $\alpha_k = 1$ , and the third inequality follows since  $k \geq 3$ .

If we use exactly  $2^{k-1}$  pebbles to pebble  $v_0$  then clearly all the pebbles are at  $v_{k-1}$ . Note that we have also cover dominated the vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_{m-1}$  by putting a pebble at  $v_0$  using  $2^{k-1}$  pebbles from  $v_{k-1}$ . Now, we have to cover dominate the remaining vertices of  $C_m$ . For that we need  $2\psi(P_{k-2}) - 1$  pebbles at  $v_{k-1}$ , since the paths  $v_{k-1} v_{k-2} \dots v_2$  and

$v_{k-1} v_k \dots v_{m-2}$  are of length  $k-3$ . That is, we need  $\frac{2^k + 6}{7} - 1$  pebbles (since  $\alpha_{k-2} = 2$ )

from  $v_{k-1}$ . But

$$2\psi(C_m) - 2^{k-1} = 2 \left( \frac{3 \cdot 2^k - 3}{7} \right) - 2^{k-1} = \frac{5 \cdot 2^{k-1} - 6}{7} = \frac{2^k + 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} - 6}{7} \geq \frac{2^k + 6}{7},$$

where the first equality follows since  $m = 2k-2$ ,  $\alpha_k = 1$  and the fourth inequality follows since  $k \geq 3$ .

Thus, we have enough pebbles to cover dominate the remaining vertices of  $C_m$  and we are done.

**Case1.2:**  $C_m$  contains  $x < \psi(C_m)$  pebbles.

This implies that,  $v_{p_1}$  contains at least  $2\psi(C_m) - x$  pebbles. We can send  $\psi(C_m) - \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor$  pebbles to  $v_0$ . So,  $C_m$  contains at least  $x + \psi(C_m) - \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor \geq \psi(C_m)$  pebbles and we are done.

**Case2:** Let  $\alpha_k = 0$ . Then  $k \geq 5$ .

Consider the distribution of  $2\psi(C_m) - 1$  pebbles on  $v_{p_1}$ , then clearly we cannot cover dominate at least one of the vertices of  $L(m, 2)$ . Thus,  $\psi(L(m, 2)) \geq 2\psi(C_m)$ .

Now, consider the distribution of  $2\psi(C_m)$  pebbles on the vertices of  $L(m, 2)$ , where  $\alpha_k = 0$ .

**Case2.1:**  $C_m$  contains at least  $\psi(C_m)$  pebbles.

If  $v_{p_1}$  contains one or more pebbles then we are done (by our assumption). So, assume that  $v_{p_1}$  contains zero pebbles. This implies that  $C_m$  contains  $2\psi(C_m)$  pebbles.

We have to send a pebble to  $v_0$ , to cover dominate the vertex  $v_{p_1}$ . Suppose we cannot send a pebble to  $v_0$ . Then, we must have,

$$\hat{f}(P_A) + \hat{f}(P_B) + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{\hat{f}(v_{k-1})}{2} \right\rfloor \leq 2^{k-1} - 2. \quad \text{---- (4)}$$

To minimize the L.H.S of (4), it is sufficient to assume that  $\hat{f}(P_A) = 0 = \hat{f}(P_B)$ . That is, we may assume that all the pebbles are at the vertex  $v_{k-1}$ . From (4), we get

$$\hat{f}(v_{k-1}) \leq 2^{k-1} - 1. \quad \text{---- (5)}$$

But, we have,  $\hat{f}(v_{k-1}) \geq 2\psi(C_m)$

$$= \frac{3 \cdot 2^{k+1} - 10}{7} \geq 2^{k-1}, \quad \text{---- (6)}$$

where the second equality follows since  $m=2k-2$ ,  $\alpha_k=0$  and third inequality follows since  $k \geq 5$ .

The inequality in (5) contradicts the inequality in (6). So, we can send a pebble to  $v_0$  using at most  $2^{k-1}$  pebbles. If we use at most  $2^{k-2}$  pebbles from  $C_m$  then the minimum number of pebbles that  $C_m$  contains is

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\psi(C_m) - 2^{k-2} \\ &= \psi(C_m) + \left( \frac{5 \cdot 2^{k-2} - 5}{7} \right) \\ &\geq \psi(C_m), \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows since  $m = 2k-2$  and  $\alpha_k = 0$ , and the second inequality follows since  $k \geq 5$ .

If we use exactly  $2^{k-1}$  pebbles to pebble  $v_0$  then clearly all the pebbles are at  $v_{k-1}$ . Note that we have also cover dominated the vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_{m-1}$  by putting a pebble at  $v_0$  using  $2^{k-1}$  pebbles from  $v_{k-1}$ . Now, we have to cover dominate the remaining vertices of  $C_m$ . For that we need  $2\psi(p_{k-2})$  pebbles at  $v_{k-1}$ , since the paths  $v_{k-1} v_{k-2} \dots v_2$  and

$v_{k-1} v_k \dots v_{m-2}$  are of length  $k-3$ . That is, we need  $\frac{2^k - 4}{7}$  pebbles (since  $\alpha_{k-2} = 1$ ) from  $v_{k-1}$ . But

$$2\psi(C_m) - 2^{k-1} = 2 \left( \frac{3 \cdot 2^k - 5}{7} \right) - 2^{k-1} = \frac{5 \cdot 2^{k-1} - 5}{7} = \frac{2^k + 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} - 5}{7} \geq \frac{2^k - 4}{7},$$

where the first equality follows since  $m = 2k-2$ ,  $\alpha_k = 0$  and the fourth inequality follows since  $k \geq 5$ .

Thus, we have enough pebbles to cover dominate the remaining vertices of  $C_m$  and we are done.

**Case2.2:**  $C_m$  contains  $x < \psi(C_m)$  pebbles.



This implies that,  $v_{p_1}$  contains at least  $2\psi(C_m) - x$  pebbles. We can send  $\psi(C_m) - \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor$  pebbles to  $v_0$ . So,  $C_m$  contains at least  $x + \psi(C_m) - \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor \geq \psi(C_m)$  pebbles and we are done.

**Case3:** Let  $\alpha_k = 2$ . Then  $k \geq 4$ .

Consider the distribution of  $2\psi(C_m)$  pebbles on  $v_{p_1}$ , then clearly we cannot cover dominate at least one of the vertices of  $L(m, 2)$ . Thus,  $\psi(L(m, 2)) \geq 2\psi(C_m) + 1$ .

Now, consider the distribution of  $2\psi(C_m) + 1$  pebbles on the vertices of  $L(m, 2)$ , where  $\alpha_k = 2$ .

**Case3.1:**  $C_m$  contains at least  $\psi(C_m)$  pebbles.

If  $v_{p_1}$  contains one or more pebbles then we are done (by our assumption). So, assume that  $v_{p_1}$  contains zero pebbles. This implies that  $C_m$  contains  $2\psi(C_m) + 1$

pebbles. We have to send a pebble to  $v_0$ , to cover dominate the vertex  $v_{p_1}$ . Suppose we cannot send a pebble to  $v_0$ . Then, we must have,

$$\hat{f}(P_A) + \hat{f}(P_B) + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{\hat{f}(v_{k-1})}{2} \right\rfloor \leq 2^{k-1} - 2. \quad \text{---- (7)}$$

To minimize the L.H.S of (7), it is sufficient to assume that  $\hat{f}(P_A) = 0 = \hat{f}(P_B)$ . That is, we may assume that all the pebbles are at the vertex  $v_{k-1}$ . From (7), we get

$$\hat{f}(v_{k-1}) \leq 2^{k-1} - 1. \quad \text{---- (8)}$$

But, we have,  $\hat{f}(v_{k-1}) \geq 2\psi(C_m) + 1$

$$= \frac{6(2^k) + 2}{7} \geq 2^{k-1}, \quad \text{---- (9)}$$

where the second equality follows since  $m=2k-2$ , and  $\alpha_k=2$ , and the third inequality follows since  $k \geq 4$ .

The inequality in (8) contradicts the inequality in (9). So, we can send a pebble to  $v_0$  using at most  $2^{k-1}$  pebbles. If we use at most  $2^{k-2}$  pebbles from  $C_m$  then the minimum number of pebbles that  $C_m$  contains is

$$2\psi(C_m)-2^{k-2} \geq \psi(C_m), \text{ since } m = 2k-2, \alpha_k = 0, \text{ and } k \geq 4.$$

If we use exactly  $2^{k-1}$  pebbles to pebble  $v_0$  then clearly all the pebbles are at  $v_{k-1}$ . Note that we have also cover dominated the vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_{m-1}$  by putting a pebble at  $v_0$  using  $2^{k-1}$  pebbles from  $v_{k-1}$ . Now, we have to cover dominate the remaining vertices

of  $C_m$ . For that we need  $2\psi(p_{k-2})-1 = \frac{2^k-2}{7}-1$  pebbles at  $v_{k-1}$ , since the paths  $v_{k-1}$

$v_{k-2} \dots v_2$  and  $v_{k-1} v_k \dots v_{m-2}$  are of length  $k-3$  and  $\alpha_{k-2} = 0$ . But

$$2\psi(C_m)-2^{k-1} = 2\left(\frac{3 \cdot 2^k + 1}{7}\right) - 2^{k-1} = \frac{5 \cdot 2^{k-1} + 2}{7} = \frac{2^k + 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} + 2}{7} \geq \frac{2^k - 2}{7},$$

where the first equality follows since  $m = 2k-2$ ,  $\alpha_k = 2$  and the fourth inequality follows since  $k \geq 4$ .

Thus, we have enough pebbles to cover dominate the remaining vertices of  $C_m$  and we are done.

**Case3.2:**  $C_m$  contains  $x < \psi(C_m)$  pebbles.

This implies that,  $v_{p_1}$  contains at least  $2\psi(C_m) - x$  pebbles. We can send  $\psi(C_m) - \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor$

pebbles to  $v_0$ . So,  $C_m$  contains at least  $x + \psi(C_m) - \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor \geq \psi(C_m)$  pebbles and we are

done.

$$\text{Thus, } \psi(L(m, 2)) \leq \begin{cases} 2\psi(C_m), & \text{if } \alpha_k = 0 \text{ or } 1 \\ 2\psi(C_m) + 1, & \text{if } \alpha_k = 2 \end{cases}, \text{ where } m=2k-2(k \geq 3).$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \psi(L(m, 2)) = \begin{cases} 2\psi(C_m), \text{ if } \alpha_k = 0 \text{ or } 1 \\ 2\psi(C_m) + 1, \text{ if } \alpha_k = 2 \end{cases}$$

where  $m=2k-2(k \geq 3)$  and  $k-2 \equiv \alpha_k \pmod{3}$ . ■

Next, we proceed to find the domination cover pebbling number of  $L(m, n)$ , where  $m=2k-2(k \geq 3)$  and  $n \geq 3$ .

**Theorem 2.3** Let  $L(m, n)$  be a lollipop graph with  $m=2k-2 \geq 4$  and  $n \geq 3$ . Then, the domination cover pebbling number for  $L(m, n)$  is

$$\psi(L(m, n)) = \begin{cases} 2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-2}), \text{ if } \alpha_k = 0 \text{ or } 1 \\ 2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-1}), \text{ if } \alpha_k = 2 \end{cases}, \text{ where } k-2 \equiv \alpha_k \pmod{3}.$$

**Proof:** Consider the lollipop graph  $L(m, n)$  where  $m=2k-2 \geq 4$  and  $n \geq 3$ .

**Case1:** Let  $\alpha_k=0$ . Then  $k \geq 5$ .

Consider the distribution of  $\psi(L(m, n))-1$  pebbles at  $v_{P_{n-1}}$ . Clearly, we cannot cover dominate at least one of the vertices of  $L(m, n)$ . Thus,  $\psi(L(m, n)) \geq 2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-2})$ .

Now, consider the distribution of  $\psi(L(m, n))$  pebbles on the vertices of  $L(m, n)$ .

**Case1.1:**  $C_m$  contains at least  $\psi(C_m)$  pebbles.

If  $P_C$  contains  $\psi(P_{n-1})$  pebbles or more, then clearly we are done (by our assumption). So assume that  $P_C$  contains  $x < \psi(P_{n-1})$  pebbles. This implies that,  $C_m$  contains  $2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-2}) - x$  pebbles. Suppose, we cannot move  $\psi(P_n)-x$  pebbles to  $v_0$ , then we must have,

$$\hat{f}(P_A) + \left\lfloor \frac{\hat{f}(v_{k-1})}{2} \right\rfloor \leq 2^{k-2} [\psi(P_n) - x] - 1$$

$$\text{and } \hat{f}(P_B) + \left\lfloor \frac{\hat{f}(v_{k-1})}{2} \right\rfloor \leq 2^{k-2} [\psi(P_n) - x] - 1.$$

Adding the above inequalities, we get

$$\hat{f}(P_A) + \hat{f}(P_B) + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{\hat{f}(v_{k-1})}{2} \right\rfloor \leq 2^{k-1} [\psi(P_n) - x] - 2. \quad \text{---- (10)}$$

To minimize the L.H.S of (10), it is sufficient to assume that  $\hat{f}(P_A) = 0 = \hat{f}(P_B)$ .

That is, we may assume that all the pebbles are at  $v_{k-1}$ .

From (10), we get

$$\hat{f}(v_{k-1}) \leq 2^{k-1} [\psi(P_n) - x] - 1. \quad \text{---- (11)}$$

But, we have  $\hat{f}(v_{k-1}) \geq 2^{n-1} \psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-2}) - x$

$$= 2^{n-1} [\psi(P_k) + \psi(P_{k-1}) - |\alpha_k - 1| |\alpha_{k-1} - 1|] + \psi(P_{n-2}) - x$$

$$\geq 2^{n-1} \left[ \frac{2^{k+1} - 1}{7} + \frac{2^k + 3}{7} - 1 \right] + \frac{2^{n-1} - 2}{7} - x$$

$$= 2^{n-1} \left( \frac{3(2^k) - 5}{7} \right) + \frac{2^{n-1} - 2}{7} - x$$

$$= \frac{2^{n+1}(2^k) - 5(2^{n-1}) - 2^k(2^{n-1})}{7} + \frac{2^{n-1} - 2}{7} - x$$

$$\geq 2^k \psi(P_n) - \left( \frac{4(2^{n-1}) + 2^k(2^{n-1} + 3)}{7} \right) - \frac{2}{7} - x$$

$$= 2^{k-1} \psi(P_n) + \left[ 2^{k-1} \psi(P_n) - \left( \frac{4(2^{n-1}) + 2^k(2^{n-1} + 3)}{7} \right) \right] - \frac{2}{7} - x$$

$$= 2^{k-1} \psi(P_n) + 2^{k-1} \left[ \frac{7(2^{n-3}) - 8}{7} \right] - \frac{2}{7} - x$$

$$\geq 2^{k-1} \psi(P_n) - x,$$

where the second inequality follows since  $m=2k-2$ , the third inequality follows since

$\alpha_k = 0, \alpha_{k-1} = 2$  and  $\psi(P_n) \geq \frac{2^{n+1} - 2}{7}$ , the eighth equality follows since

$k \geq 5$  and  $\psi(P_n) \geq \frac{2^{n+1} - 2}{7}$ , and the ninth inequality follows since

$n \geq 4, k \geq 5$  and for  $n = 3$ , it is obvious.

That is,  $\hat{f}(v_{k-1}) \geq 2^{k-1}\psi(P_n) - x$ . ----- (12)

The inequality in (11) contradicts the inequality in (12). So, we can always send  $\psi(P_n) - x$  pebbles to  $v_0$  at a cost of  $2^{k-1}[\psi(P_n) - x]$  pebbles (at most). So, we cover dominate the path  $P_n$ . Now, we have to cover dominate  $C_m$ . In  $C_m$ , we have at least  $2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-2}) - x - 2^{k-1}[\psi(P_n) - x]$  pebbles. We need at most  $\psi(C_m)$  pebbles to cover dominate the vertices of  $C_m$ . But,

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-2}) - x - 2^{k-1}[\psi(P_n) - x] - \psi(C_m) \\
&= (2^{n-1} - 1)\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-2}) - x - 2^{k-1}[\psi(P_n) - x] \\
&= (2^{n-1} - 1)[\psi(P_k) + \psi(P_{k-1}) - 1] + \psi(P_{n-2}) - x - 2^{k-1}[\psi(P_n) - x] \\
&\geq (2^{n-1} - 1)\left[\frac{2^{k+1} - 1}{7} + \frac{2^k + 3}{7} - 1\right] + \psi(P_{n-2}) - x - 2^{k-1}\left[\frac{2^{n+1} + 3}{7} - x\right] \\
&= (2^{n-1})\left(\frac{2^k - 5}{7}\right) - \left(\frac{9(2^{k-1})}{7}\right) + \psi(P_{n-2}) + (2^{k-1} - 1)x + \frac{5}{7} \\
&\geq (2^{n-1})\left(\frac{2^k - 5}{7}\right) - \left(\frac{9(2^{k-1})}{7}\right) + \left(\frac{2^{n-1} - 2}{7}\right) \\
&\geq (2^{n-1})\left(\frac{2^k - 5}{7} - \frac{9(2^{k-1})}{7(8)} + \frac{1}{7} - \frac{2}{7(8)}\right) \\
&= (2^{n-1})\left(\frac{7(2^{k-1}) - 40 + 6}{56}\right) > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$= (2^{n-1}) \left( \frac{7(2^{k-1}) - 40 + 6}{56} \right) > 0,$$

where the second equality follows since  $m=2k-2$ , third inequality follows

since  $\alpha_k = 0$ ,  $\alpha_{k-1} = 2$  and  $\psi(P_n) \leq \frac{2^{n+1} + 3}{7}$ , the fifth inequality follows since

$k \geq 5$ , the seventh inequality follows since  $n \geq 4$  and for  $n=3$  it is obvious, and the eighth equality follows since  $k \geq 5$  and  $n \geq 3$ .

Thus, we have enough pebbles to cover dominate  $C_m$  and hence we are done.

**Case1.2:**  $C_m$  contains  $y < \psi(C_m)$  pebbles.

This implies that,  $P_C$  contains  $2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-2}) - y$  pebbles. We use at most  $\psi(P_{n-1})$  pebbles to cover dominate the vertices of  $P_C$ . Thus, we have at least  $2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-2}) - y - \psi(P_{n-1})$  pebbles in  $P_C$ .

We need at most  $2^{n-1}[\psi(C_m) - y]$  pebbles from  $P_C$  to cover dominate the vertices of  $C_m$ .

But,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-2}) - y - \psi(P_{n-1}) - 2^{n-1}[\psi(C_m) - y] \\ & \geq 2^{n-1}y + \frac{2^{n-1} - 2}{7} - y - \frac{2^n + 3}{7} \\ & \geq 2^{n-1} \left[ \frac{21y - 9}{28} \right] > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows since  $n \geq 3$ . Thus, we can send  $\psi(C_m) - y$  pebbles to  $v_0$  and already  $C_m$  contains  $y$  pebbles and so  $C_m$  contains  $\psi(C_m)$  pebbles and we are done.

So,  $\psi(L(m, n)) \leq 2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-2})$ .

Therefore,  $\psi(L(m, n)) = 2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-2})$ , if  $\alpha_k = 0$ .

**Case2:** Let  $\alpha_k = 1$ . Then  $k \geq 3$ .

Consider the distribution of  $\psi(L(m,n))$ -1pebbles at  $v_{p_{n-1}}$ . Clearly, we cannot cover dominate at least one of the vertices of  $L(m,n)$ . Thus,  $\psi(L(m,n)) \geq 2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-2})$ .

Now, consider the distribution of  $\psi(L(m,n))$  pebbles on the vertices of  $L(m,n)$ .

**Case2.1:**  $C_m$  contains at least  $\psi(C_m)$  pebbles.

If  $P_C$  contains at least  $\psi(P_{n-1})$  pebbles, then clearly we are done(by our assumption).

So assume that  $P_C$  contains  $x < \psi(P_{n-1})$  pebbles. This implies that,  $C_m$  contains  $2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-2}) - x$  pebbles. Suppose, we cannot move  $\psi(P_n) - x$  pebbles to  $v_0$ , then we must have,

$$\hat{f}(P_A) + \hat{f}(P_B) + 2 \left[ \frac{\hat{f}(v_{k-1})}{2} \right] \leq 2^{k-1} [\psi(P_n) - x] - 2. \quad \text{---- (13)}$$

To minimize the L.H.S of (13), it is sufficient to assume that  $\hat{f}(P_A) = 0 = \hat{f}(P_B)$ .

That is, we may assume that all the pebbles are at  $v_{k-1}$ .

From (13), we get

$$\hat{f}(v_{k-1}) \leq 2^{k-1} [\psi(P_n) - x] - 1. \quad \text{---- (14)}$$

But, we have  $\hat{f}(v_{k-1}) \geq 2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-2}) - x$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq 2^{n-1} \left( \frac{2^{k+1} - 2 + 2^k - 1}{7} \right) + \frac{2^{n-1} - 2}{7} - x \\ &= 2^{n-1} \left( \frac{3(2^k) - 3}{7} \right) + \frac{2^{n-1} - 2}{7} - x \\ &= \frac{2^{n+1}(2^k) - 3(2^{n-1}) - 2^k(2^{n-1})}{7} + \frac{2^{n-1} - 2}{7} - x \\ &\geq 2^k \psi(P_n) - \left( \frac{2(2^{n-1}) + 2^k(2^{n-1} + 3)}{7} \right) - \frac{2}{7} - x \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2^{k-1}\psi(P_n) + \left[ 2^{k-1}\psi(P_n) - \left( \frac{2(2^{n-1}) + 2^k(2^{n-1} + 3)}{7} \right) \right] - \frac{2}{7} - x \\
&\geq 2^{k-1}\psi(P_n) + 2^{k-1} \left[ \left( \frac{2^{n+1} - 2}{7} \right) - \left( \frac{2(2^{n-1})}{7(4)} \right) - \left( \frac{2^n + 6}{7} \right) \right] - \frac{2}{7} - x \\
&= 2^{k-1}\psi(P_n) + 2^{k-1} \left[ \frac{3(2^n) - 32}{28} \right] - \frac{2}{7} - x \\
&\geq 2^{k-1}\psi(P_n) - 1 - x,
\end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows since  $m = 2k-2$ ,  $\alpha_k = 1$ ,

and  $\psi(P_n) \geq \frac{2^{n+1} - 2}{7}$ , seventh inequality follows

since  $k \geq 3$  and  $\psi(P_n) \geq \frac{2^{n+1} - 2}{7}$  and the ninth inequality follows

since  $n \geq 4, k \geq 3$  and for  $n = 3$ , it is obvious..

That is,  $\hat{f}(v_{k-1}) \geq 2^{k-1}\psi(P_n) - 1 - x$ . ---- (15)

The inequality in (14) contradicts the inequality in (15). So, we can always send  $\psi(P_n) - x$  pebbles to  $v_0$  at a cost of  $2^{k-1}[\psi(P_n) - x]$  pebbles(at most). So, we cover dominate the path  $P_n$ . Now, we have to cover dominate  $C_m$ . In  $C_m$ , we have at least  $2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-2}) - x - 2^{k-1}[\psi(P_n) - x]$  pebbles. We need at most  $\psi(C_m)$  pebbles to cover dominate  $C_m$ . But,

$$\begin{aligned}
&2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-2}) - x - 2^{k-1}[\psi(P_n) - x] - \psi(C_m) \\
&= (2^{n-1} - 1)\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-2}) - x - 2^{k-1}[\psi(P_n) - x] \\
&= (2^{n-1} - 1)[\psi(P_k) + \psi(P_{k-1}) - 1] + \psi(P_{n-2}) - x - 2^{k-1}[\psi(P_n) - x]
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq (2^{n-1} - 1) \left( \frac{3(2^k) - 3}{7} \right) + \frac{2^{n-1} - 2}{7} + (2^{k-1} - 1)x - 2^{k-1} \left( \frac{2^{n+1} + 3}{7} \right) \\
&\geq (2^{n-1}) \left( \frac{3(2^k) - 3}{7} - \frac{3(2^k)}{7(2^{n-1})} - \frac{2}{7(2^{n-1})} - \frac{2(2^k)}{7} - 3 \left( \frac{2^{k-1}}{7(2^{n-1})} \right) + \frac{1}{7} \right) \\
&\geq (2^{n-1}) \left( \frac{2^k - 3}{7} - \frac{9(2^{k-1})}{7(8)} - \frac{2}{7(8)} + \frac{1}{7} \right) \\
&= (2^{n-1}) \left( \frac{16(2^{k-1}) - 9(2^{k-1}) - 24 - 2 + 8}{56} \right) \\
&= (2^{n-1}) \left( \frac{7(2^{k-1}) - 18}{56} \right) > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows since  $m-2k-2$ , the third inequality follows

since  $\alpha_k = 1, \alpha_{k-1} = 0$  and  $\frac{2^{n+1} - 2}{7} \leq \psi(P_n) \leq \frac{2^{n+1} + 3}{7}$ , the fifth inequality

follows since  $n \geq 4$  and for  $n=3$  it is obvious, and the seventh inequality follows since  $k \geq 3$  and  $n \geq 3$ .

Thus, we have enough pebbles to cover dominate  $C_m$  and hence we are done.

**Case2.2:**  $C_m$  contains  $y < \psi(C_m)$  pebbles.

This implies that,  $P_C$  contains  $2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-2}) - y$  pebbles. We use at most  $\psi(P_{n-1})$  pebbles to cover dominate the vertices of  $P_C$ . Thus, we have at least  $2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-2}) - y - \psi(P_{n-1})$  pebbles in  $P_C$ .

We need at most  $2^{n-1}[\psi(C_m) - y]$  pebbles from  $P_C$  to cover dominate the vertices of  $C_m$ .

But,

$$\begin{aligned}
&2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-2}) - y - \psi(P_{n-1}) - 2^{n-1}[\psi(C_m) - y] \\
&= 2^{n-1}y + \psi(P_{n-2}) - y - \psi(P_{n-1})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq 2^{n-1}y + \frac{2^{n-1}-2}{7} - y - \frac{2^n+3}{7} \\
&\geq 2^{n-1} \left[ y - \frac{5+7y}{7(4)} - \frac{1}{7} \right] \\
&= 2^{n-1} \left[ \frac{21y-9}{28} \right] > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where the third inequality follows since  $n \geq 3$ . Thus, we can send  $\psi(C_m)$ -y pebbles to  $v_0$  and already  $C_m$  contains y pebbles and so  $C_m$  contains  $\psi(C_m)$  pebbles and we are done.

So,  $\psi(L(m,n)) \leq 2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-2})$ .

Therefore,  $\psi(L(m,n)) = 2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-2})$ , if  $\alpha_k=1$ .

**Case3:** Let  $\alpha_k=2$ . Then  $k \geq 4$ .

Consider the distribution of  $\psi(L(m,n))$ -1 pebbles at  $v_{p_{n-1}}$ . Clearly, we cannot cover dominate at least one of the vertices of  $L(m,n)$ . Thus,  $\psi(L(m,n)) \geq 2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-1})$ .

Now, consider the distribution of  $\psi(L(m,n))$  pebbles on the vertices of  $L(m,n)$ .

**Case3.1:**  $C_m$  contains at least  $\psi(C_m)$  pebbles.

If  $P_C$  contains at least  $\psi(P_{n-1})$  pebbles, then clearly we are done (by our assumption). So assume that  $P_C$  contains  $x < \psi(P_{n-1})$  pebbles. This implies that,  $C_m$  contains  $2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-1}) - x$  pebbles. Suppose, we cannot move  $\psi(P_n) - x$  pebbles to  $v_0$ , then we must have,

$$\hat{f}(P_A) + \hat{f}(P_B) + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{\hat{f}(v_{k-1})}{2} \right\rfloor \leq 2^{k-1} [\psi(P_n) - x] - 2. \quad \text{---- (16)}$$

To minimize the L.H.S of (16), it is sufficient to assume that  $\hat{f}(P_A) = 0 = \hat{f}(P_B)$ .

That is, we may assume that all the pebbles are at  $v_{k-1}$ .

From (16), we get

$$\hat{f}(v_{k-1}) \leq 2^{k-1} [\psi(P_n) - x] - 1. \quad \text{---- (17)}$$

But, we have  $\hat{f}(v_{k-1}) \geq 2^{n-1} \psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-1}) - x$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 2^{n-1} [\psi(P_k) + \psi(P_{k-1}) - |\alpha_k - 1| |\alpha_{k-1} - 1|] + \psi(P_{n-1}) - x \\ &\geq 2^{n-1} \left( \frac{3(2^k) + 1}{7} \right) + \frac{2^n - 2}{7} - x \\ &\geq 2^{n+1} \left( \frac{2^k}{7} \right) - 2^{n-1} \left( \frac{2^k}{7} \right) + \frac{3(2^{n-1})}{7} - 1 - x \\ &\geq 2^{k-1} \psi(P_n) + 2^{k-1} \left[ \psi(P_n) - \left( \frac{3(2^{n-1})}{7(2^{k-1})} \right) - \left( \frac{2^k(2^{n-1} + 3)}{7(2^{k-1})} \right) \right] - 1 - x \\ &\geq 2^{k-1} \psi(P_n) + 2^{k-1} \left[ \frac{13(2^{n-1}) - 64}{28} \right] - 1 - x \\ &\geq 2^{k-1} \psi(P_n) - 1 - x, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows since  $m=2k-2$ , the third inequality follows since

$$\alpha_k = 2, \alpha_{k-1} = 1 \text{ and } \psi(P_n) \geq \frac{2^{n+1} - 2}{7}, \quad \text{the fourth inequality follows}$$

$$\text{since } k \geq 4 \text{ and } \psi(P_n) \geq \frac{2^{n+1} - 2}{7}, \quad \text{and the seventh inequality follows}$$

*since  $n \geq 4, k \geq 4$  and for  $n = 3$ , it is obvious.*

$$\text{That is, } \hat{f}(v_{k-1}) \geq 2^{k-1} \psi(P_n) - 1 - x. \quad \text{---- (18)}$$

The inequality in (17) contradicts the inequality in (18). So, we can always send  $\psi(P_n) - x$  pebbles to  $v_0$  at a cost of at most  $2^{k-1} [\psi(P_n) - x]$  pebbles. So, we cover dominate the path  $P_n$ . Now, we have to cover dominate  $C_m$ . In  $C_m$ , we have at least  $2^{n-1} \psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-1}) - x - 2^{k-1} [\psi(P_n) - x]$  pebbles. We need at most  $\psi(C_m)$  pebbles to cover dominate the vertices of  $C_m$ . But,

since  $n \geq 4, k \geq 4$  and for  $n = 3$ , it is obvious.

$$\text{That is, } \hat{f}(v_{k-1}) \geq 2^{k-1}\psi(P_n) - 1 - x. \quad \text{---- (18)}$$

The inequality in (17) contradicts the inequality in (18). So, we can always send  $\psi(P_n) - x$  pebbles to  $v_0$  at a cost of at most  $2^{k-1}[\psi(P_n) - x]$  pebbles. So, we cover

~~dominate the path  $P_n$ . Now, we have to cover dominate  $C_m$ . In  $C_m$ , we have at least~~

$2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-1}) - x - 2^{k-1}[\psi(P_n) - x]$  pebbles. We need at most  $\psi(C_m)$  pebbles to cover dominate the vertices of  $C_m$ . But,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-1}) - x - 2^{k-1}[\psi(P_n) - x] - \psi(C_m) \\ &= (2^{n-1} - 1)\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-1}) - x - 2^{k-1}[\psi(P_n) - x] \\ &= (2^{n-1} - 1)[\psi(P_k) + \psi(P_{k-1}) - 1] + \psi(P_{n-2}) - x - 2^{k-1}[\psi(P_n) - x] \\ &\geq (2^{n-1} - 1)\left(\frac{3(2^k) + 1}{7}\right) + \frac{2^n - 2}{7} + (2^{k-1} - 1)x - 2^{k-1}\left(\frac{2^{n+1} + 3}{7}\right) \\ &= (2^{n-1})\left(\frac{2^k + 3}{7} - \frac{9(2^{k-1})}{7(2^{n-1})} - \frac{3}{7(2^{n-1})}\right) \\ &\geq (2^{n-1})\left(\frac{16(2^{k-1}) - 9(2^{k-1}) + 24 - 3}{56}\right) \\ &= (2^{n-1})\left(\frac{7(2^{k-1}) + 21}{56}\right) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows since  $m=2k-2$ , the third inequality follows

since  $\alpha_k = 2, \alpha_{k-1} = 1$  and  $\frac{2^{n+1} - 2}{7} \leq \psi(P_n) \leq \frac{2^{n+1} + 3}{7}$ , the fifth inequality

follows since  $n \geq 4$  and for  $n = 3$  it is obvious, and the sixth inequality follows

since  $k \geq 4$  and  $n \geq 3$ .

Thus, we have enough pebbles to cover dominate  $C_m$  and hence we are done.

**Case3.2:**  $C_m$  contains  $y < \psi(C_m)$  pebbles.

This implies that,  $P_C$  contains at least  $2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-1}) - y$  pebbles. We use at most  $\psi(P_{n-1})$  pebbles to cover dominate the vertices of  $P_C$ . Thus, we have at least

$2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-1}) - y - \psi(P_{n-1})$  pebbles in  $P_C$ .

We need at most  $2^{n-1} [\psi(C_m)-y]$  pebbles from  $P_C$  to cover dominate the vertices of  $C_m$ .

But,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-1}) - y - \psi(P_{n-1}) - 2^{n-1}[\psi(C_m) - y] \\ &= 2^{n-1}y - y = (2^{n-1} - 1)y > 0, \text{ since } n \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can send  $\psi(C_m)-y$  pebbles to  $v_0$  and already  $C_m$  contains  $y$  pebbles and so  $C_m$  contains  $\psi(C_m)$  pebbles and we are done.

So,  $\psi(L(m, n)) \leq 2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-1})$ .

Therefore,  $\psi(L(m, n)) = 2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-1})$ , if  $\alpha_k=2$ .

$$\text{Hence, } \psi(L(m, n)) = \begin{cases} 2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-2}), & \text{if } \alpha_k = 0 \text{ or } 1 \\ 2^{n-1}\psi(C_m) + \psi(P_{n-1}), & \text{if } \alpha_k = 2 \end{cases},$$

where  $m=2k-2$  and  $k-2=\alpha_k \pmod{3}$ . ■

## References :

- [1] F.R.K.Chung, Pebbling in Hypercubes, SIAM J. Discrete Mathematics 2 (1989), 467-472.
- [2] B.Crull, T.Cundiff, P.Feltman, G.Hurlbert, L.Pudwell, Z.Szaniszlo, and T.Suza, The Cover Pebbling Number of Graphs, Discrete Math.296 (2005), 15-23.
- [3] J.Gardner, A.Godbole, A.Teguia, A.Vuong, N.Watson and C.Yerger, Domination Cover Pebbling: Graph Families, JCMCC 64 (2008), 255-271.
- [4] T.Haynes, S.Hedetniemi, and P.Slater, Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [5] G.Hurlbert, A Survey of Graph Pebbling, Congr. Numer.139 (1999), 41-64.
- [6] A. Lourdusamy and T. Mathivanan, Domination cover pebbling number for odd cycle lollipop, Scienza Acta Xaveriana, Vol. 4, No. 1 (2013), 35-70.
- [7] A. Lourdusamy and T. Mathivanan, The domination cover pebbling number of the square of a path, Journal of Prime Research in Mathematics, Vol. 7 (2011), 1-8.

- [8] A. Lourdusamy and T. Mathivanan, Domination cover pebbling number for some cyclic graphs and path graphs, submitted for publication.
- [9] A. Lourdusamy and T. Mathivanan, The domination cover pebbling number for some acyclic graphs submitted for publication.